



Thünen Institute of Farm Economics

2024/05a

InnoRind¹ - State of research on the economics of animal welfare measures

Katharina Zavyalova, Imke Edebohls, Claus Deblitz

- Animal welfare in cattle farming is the subject of intensive research, but the economic consequences of animal welfare measures are rarely being taken into consideration.
- Studies suggest that calf rearing with cow contact yields health benefits for the animals, but is also associated with higher costs.
- Although a larger space allowance for livestock improves animal welfare, without compensatory measures (higher prices, subsidies) it reduces the profitability of farms.
- Studies on alternative housing systems for cows and heifers show improved calf growth, changes in milk composition and increased construction costs.
- There is a need for comprehensive studies on the overall economic situation on farms with animal welfare measures in cattle farming.

State of research on animal welfare in cattle farming

Numerous studies are currently being conducted on the subject of animal welfare in general and animal welfare in cattle farming in particular. The effects of animal welfare measures on the behaviour of livestock, the germ pressure in the barn, the excretion of climate-relevant gases, etc. have already been comprehensively investigated and documented. Given the above, it is surprising that only a few of these studies have focussed on the economic impact of the investigated measures. Yet economic aspects often decide whether the specific package of measures is implemented or not. The following section presents studies in which economic aspects were addressed.

Studies on calf rearing with cow contact and its economic effects

Various studies have investigated aspects of calf rearing with cow contact and their effects on the economic viability of production. Knierim et al. (2020) identified monetary (e.g. construction and labour costs) and non-monetary (e.g. milk consumption, weaning weight, calf losses) factors for a socio-economic evaluation of dairy calf rearing systems with or without cow contact. Wicklow (2016) found that calf rearing with cow contact in organic dairy farming is an economically viable alternative to traditional calf rearing. Barth et al. (2022) showed that the implementation of cow-calf contact in practice is associated with higher costs than conventional bucket feeding, which is why a higher milk price is required. Tergast et al. (2019) determined the cost differences between rearing with cow-calf

contact and with the automatic feeder, with cow-calf contact rearing causing higher opportunity costs in the form of lost milk revenue. Junqueira et al. (2005) compared the production and profitability of milking F1 Holstein x Gir cows with and without calf stimulation of the cow. The additional expenditure in the group with calf stimulation was outweighed by the additional milk yield, resulting in an overall better profitability in the group with calf stimulation. Overall, the studies show that calf rearing with cow contact offers advantages in terms of calf growth and health, but can also be associated with higher costs. A precise assessment of the economic viability depends on the individual circumstances and objectives of the farm.

Space allowance and profitability in cattle farming

Studies on this issue show that increased space allowance for cattle (cows, calves and beef cattle) leads to improved animal welfare, but is also associated with higher costs for farmers. In Sweden, a reduction in gross margins with increased space for calves and a decreasing profit per animal for cattle was modelled (Ahmed et al. 2021). In Finland, a good level of animal welfare (e.g. rubber- mats, bedding) promoted animal performance, but there was a conflict between space allowance and animal welfare on the one hand and profitability on the other hand (Herva 2015). A reform of the subsidy system (linking subsidies to space allowance) is proposed to solve these problems. In Brazil, on the other hand, it has been shown that increasing

¹ InnoRind – sustainable cattle farming in Germany with respect to animal welfare, environmental impact and social acceptance

the space available for grazing improves profitability and reduces the risk of financial losses (Montelli, Natalia Ludmila Lins Lima et al. 2019). These findings make it clear that the optimisation of animal welfare and profitability in cattle farming requires careful consideration and possibly also political support in order to meet the needs of both the animals and the farmers.

Alternative housing systems and their effects

These studies look at the economic, environmental and welfare impacts of alternative housing systems for cows and heifers. French et al. (2015) focus on maximising the grazing period and emphasise that different out-wintering pads have minimal effects on dairy cow productivity and the only differences are in costs with low-cost cubicle system being the most profitable option. Hawkins et al. (2020) developed an economic model to determine the costs of rearing replacement heifers in the USA and show that year-round grazing leads to lower overall costs than housing. Hawkins et al. (2019) developed a model to calculate the costs of rearing heifers. The total cost of housing calves varied depending on the housing system, with construction costs

in indoor housing and bedding in single outdoor housing being the largest cost factors. Despite a reduction in labour costs from individual to group housing, total costs increased due to additional expenditure for feeders, maintenance and barn infrastructure.

Need for further research

Although the existing studies on animal welfare in cattle production touch on some important aspects of economics, they do not provide comprehensive analyses of this topic. They mainly focus on the effects of animal welfare measures such as rearing cow-calf contact on animal health and growth, with the economic consequences of these measures often being only marginally addressed. Existing research points to potential cost increases from improved animal welfare practices, but lacks a detailed and holistic analysis of the economic impacts. This emphasises the need for further research to fully understand the economic implications of animal welfare measures in cattle farming.

Herva, Tuomas (2015): Animal Welfare and Economics in Beef Production. Academic Dissertation. Hg. v. UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI. ATRIA LTD AND DEPARTMENT OF PRODUCTION ANIMAL MEDICINE, FACULTY OF VETERINARY MEDICINE. SEINÄJOKI, zuletzt geprüft am 07.11.2022. Junqueira, F. S.; Madalena, F. E.; Reis, G. L. (2005): Production and economic comparison of milking F 1 Holstein x Gir cows with and without the stimulus of the calf. In: Livestock Production Science 97 (241-252).

Knierim, Ute; Wicklow, Dagmar; Ivemeyer, Silvia; Möller, Detlev (2020): A framework for the socio-economic evaluation of rearing systems of dairy calves with or without cow contact. In: *Journal of Dairy Research* (87), S. 128–132, zuletzt geprüft am 07.11.2022.

Montelli, Natalia Ludmila Lins Lima; Macitelli, Fernanda; Da Braga, Janaina Silva; Da Costa, Mateus José Rodrigues Paranhos (2019): Economic impacts of space allowance per animal on beef cattle feedlot. In: *Semina: Ciências Agrárias* 40 (6 Supl. 3), S. 3665–3678, zuletzt geprüft am 07.11.2022.

Tergast, Hauke; Schumacher, Wiebke; Barth, Kerstin (2019): Das Kalb länger bei der Kuh lassen? In: *DLG-Mitteilungen* (2), S. 60–62.

Wicklow, Dagmar (2016): Betriebswirtschaftliche Betrachtung und systemtheoretische Analyse der muttergebundenen Kälberaufzucht in der ökologischen Milchviehhaltung. Witzenhausen, zuletzt geprüft am 07.11.2022.

References

Ahmed, Hanseeb; Alvåsen, Karin; Berg, Charlotte; Hansson, Helena; Hultgren, Jan; Röcklinsberg, Helena; Emanuelson, Ulf (2021): Assessing Animal Welfare and Farm Profitability in Cow-Calf Operations with Stochastic Partial Budgeting. In: *Animals* 11 (2), S. 382.

Barth, Kerstin; Bock, Achim; Breden, Anna Nele; Dwinger, Heino; Dwinger, Sabrina; Gleissner, Florian (2022): Kuhgebundene Kälberaufzucht in der Milchviehhaltung. Leitfaden für die Praxis. Hg. v. Bioland e. V., Johann Heinrich von Thünen-Institut, Bundesforschungsinstitut für Ländliche Räume, Wald und Fischerei, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, zuletzt geprüft am 07.11.2022.

French, P.; Driscoll, K. O.; Horan, B.; Shalloo, L. (2015): The economic, environmental and welfare implications of alternative systems of accommodating dairy cows during the winter months. In: *Animal Production Science* 55 (7), S. 838–842.

Hawkins, Anna; Burdine, K. H.; Amaral-Phillips, D. M.; Costa, Joao H. C. (2020): Effects of Housing System on Dairy Heifer Replacement Cost From Birth to Calving: Evaluating Costs of Confinement, Dry-Lot, and Pasture-Based Systems and Their Impact on Total Rearing Investment. In: *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* (7), Artikel Article 625, zuletzt geprüft am 07.11.2022.

Hawkins, Anna; Burdine, Kenneth; Amaral-Phillips, Donna; Costa, Joao H. C. (2019): An Economic Analysis of the Costs Associated with Pre-Weaning Management Strategies for Dairy Heifers. In: *Animals* 9 (7), S. 471, zuletzt geprüft am 07.11.2022.

Further information

All authors: Thünen Institute of Farm Economics

Contact

katharina.zavyalova@thuenen.de www.thuenen.de/bw

Project logo



Project duration

09.2022-08.2025

Project ID

2532

Project partners

University of Kiel (Project coordination) University of Göttingen University Rhein-Waal wig-Holstein Bavarian State Estates Leibniz Institute for Agricultural Engineering and Bioeconomy (ATB)

LfL Bavarian State Institute of Ag-

LfA Development Bank of Bayaria

Chamber of Agriculture of North

Chamber of Agriculture Schles-

riculture

Rhine-Westphalia

Funding



DOI: 10.3220/PB1708334749000